Comparing IS-IS and OSPF

ISP Workshops



These materials are licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/)

Last updated 11th May 2021

Acknowledgements

- This material originated from the Cisco ISP/IXP Workshop Programme developed by Philip Smith & Barry Greene
- Use of these materials is encouraged as long as the source is fully acknowledged and this notice remains in place
- Bug fixes and improvements are welcomed
 - Please email workshop (at) bgp4all.com

Philip Smith

BGP Videos

- NSRC has produced a library of Routing presentations (including this one), recorded on video, for the whole community to use
 - https://learn.nsrc.org/bgp



Comparing IS-IS and OSPF

Both are Link State Routing Protocols using the Dijkstra SPF Algorithm

■ So what's the difference then?

And why do ISP engineers end up arguing so much about which is superior?

4

OSPF

Open Shortest Path First

Open:

- Meaning an Open Standard
- Developed by IETF (OSPF Working Group) for IP RFC1247
- Current standard is OSPFv2 (RFC2328)

Shortest Path First:

- Edsger Dijkstra's algorithm for producing shortest path tree through a graph
 - Dijkstra, E. W. (1959). "A note on two problems in connexion with graphs". Numerische Mathematik 1: 269–271

IS-IS

Intermediate System to Intermediate System

- ISO 10589 specifies OSI IS-IS routing protocol for ConnectionLess-mode Network Services (CLNS) traffic
 - A Link State protocol with a 2 level hierarchical architecture
 - Type/Length/Value (TLV) options to enhance the protocol
- RFC 1195 added IP support
 - Integrated IS-IS
 - I/IS-IS runs on top of the Data Link Layer

IS-IS & OSPF: Similarities

- Both are Interior Gateway Protocols (IGP)
 - They distribute routing information between routers belonging to a single Autonomous System (AS)
 - Both use Edsger Dijkstra's algorithm
- With support for:
 - Classless Inter-Domain Routing (CIDR)
 - Variable Subnet Length Masking (VLSM)
 - Authentication
 - Multi-path
 - IP unnumbered links

IS-IS and OSPF Terminology

OSPF

- Host
- Router
- Link
- Packet
- Designated router (DR)
- Backup DR (BDR)
- Link-State Advertisement (LSA)
- Hello packet
- Database Description (DBD)

IS-IS

- End System (ES)
- Intermediate System (IS)
- Circuit
- Protocol Data Unit (PDU)
- Designated IS (DIS)
- N/A (no BDIS is used)
- Link-State PDU (LSP)
- IIH PDU
- Complete sequence number PDU (CSNP)

IS-IS and OSPF Terminology (Cont.)

OSPF

- Area
- Non-backbone area
- Backbone area
- Area Border Router (ABR)
- Autonomous System Boundary Router (ASBR)

IS-IS

- Sub domain
- Level-1 (station)
- Level-2 (area)
- □ L1L2 (station & area)
- Any IS

Transport

■ OSPF uses IP as transport – Protocol 89

Data Link Header IP Header	OSPF Header	OSPF Data
----------------------------	-------------	-----------

■ IS-IS is directly encapsulated in Layer 2

Data Link Header	IS-IS Header	IS-IS Data
------------------	--------------	------------

For Service Providers

Which IGP should an ISP choose?

- Both OSPF and IS-IS use Dijkstra SPF algorithm
- Exhibit same convergence properties
- IS-IS less widely implemented on router platforms
- IS-IS runs on data link layer, OSPF runs on IP layer

Why do we keep discussing the merits of each IGP?

For Service Providers

■ Biggest ISPs tend to use IS-IS – why?

- In early 1990s, Cisco implementation of IS-IS was much more stable and reliable than OSPF implementation – ISPs naturally preferred IS-IS
- Main IS-IS implementations are more tuneable than equivalent OSPF implementations
 - Because biggest ISPs using IS-IS put more pressure on Cisco to implement "knobs" to improve performance

For Service Providers

Moving forward a decade

- Early Cisco OSPF implementation substantially rewritten
 Now competitive with IS-IS in features and performance
- Router vendors wishing a slice of the core market need an IS-IS implementation as solid and as flexible as that from Cisco
 - Those with IS-IS & OSPF support tend to ensure they exhibit performance and feature parity

How to choose an IGP?

OSPF

- Rigid area design all networks must have area 0 core, with sub-areas distributed around
- Suits ISPs with central high speed core network linking regional PoPs

How to choose an IGP?

IS-IS

- Relaxed two level design L2 routers must be linked through the backbone
- Suits ISPs with "stringy" networks, diverse infrastructure, etc, not fitting central core model of OSPF
- More flexible than OSPF, but easier to make mistakes too

Considerations

- □ "Security"
 - IS-IS runs on link layer
 - Not possible to "attack" the IGP using IP as with OSPF
- Not dependent on IP addressing
 - IS-IS's NSAP addressing scheme avoids dependencies on IP as with OSPF

Reliability"

- IS-IS has long been used by the majority of the world's biggest ISPs
- Belief that equipment vendors pay more attention to IS-IS reliability, scalability, and features

More considerations

Migration to IPv6

- Adding IPv6 means OSPFv2 and OSPFv3 in network
 Two independent protocols, two sets of identical configuration
- IS-IS simply requires the addition of the IPv6 address-family
 Most networks operate single topology for IPv4 and IPv6
- Note that RFC5838 describes support of multiple address families in OSPFv3
 - Limited vendor support
 - Is not compatible with OSPFv2

Comparing IS-IS and OSPF

ISP Workshops