Multihoming: Practical Deployment

ISP Workshops



These materials are licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/)

1

Last updated 24th February 2021

Acknowledgements

- This material was developed by Philip Smith with the support of the Network Startup Resource Center
- Use of these materials is encouraged as long as the source is fully acknowledged and this notice remains in place
- Bug fixes and improvements are welcomed
 - Please email workshop (at) bgp4all.com

Philip Smith

Agenda

- Background and Requirements
- □ The next steps
- □ 1st link primary, 2nd link backup
- Load share between both links
- End-site network configuration

Background

- Previous Multihoming presentations cover the technology and techniques for:
 - Setting up Multihoming
 - Carrying out traffic engineering to improve load balancing
- Real-world where to begin??
 - What resources are needed?
 - What equipment is needed?
 - What is required of upstream providers?
- What does a multihoming entity need to do??

Resource Requirements: IP Address Space

- Entity requires its own independent IPv4 and IPv6 address space
 - Operators do not allow their delegated address space to be routed via other providers (contractual)
 - Operators do not even have IPv4 address space to give to customers now!
- IPv4 address space is very limited
 - Depending on Regional Internet Registry policies, this may be as little as a /24 of IPv4 for *new* members
 - With luck, a /23 (two /24s) might be available
- □ IPv6 is plentiful
 - Some RIR multihoming policies allow for a /48 for a multihoming organisation
 But traffic engineering isn't possible
 - Better to acquire two /48s or a whole /32 (for organisations operating a network)

Resource Requirements: IP Address Space

- Note well:
 - Traffic engineering is not possible with IPv4 /24 and IPv6 /48
 - These prefixes cannot be subdivided in to smaller pieces and still have global connectivity.
 - Advice: obtain two /24s and two /48s, minimum
 - If the RIR can provide an IPv4 /23, it may not be made up of contiguous /24s!
 - Technically this isn't a problem
- Obtaining IPv4 address space requires membership of the RIR
 - (IPv6 and an AS Number come as part of the package for all RIR members)

Resource Requirements: AS Number

- A public AS number is required by BGP to implement multihoming
 - Private AS numbers theoretically can be used
 - Technically and operationally challenging
 - No advantage since the public AS Number pool is vast
- AS Numbers are available from the Regional Internet Registry as part of the entity's membership
 - To apply, simply list the two ASes which will be the multihoming partners (i.e. upstream providers)
 - Can also be obtained by one of the upstream providers on behalf of the entity multihoming
 - But if entity already joining RIR to get IP address space, best practice to obtain AS number directly from the RIR

Equipment requirements

Two border routers are required

- Multihoming can be done with just one, but then there is no router redundancy
 - Hardware or software failure means outage until repair

Routers need to:

- Be able to support BGP
- Need to be able to handle the capacity of the link
- Need one external interface (for connection to upstream)
- Two or more internal interfaces
 - Common today for border routers to have four ethernet ports (one used external facing, the other three internal facing)

Equipment Requirements

Scenario One:

Border routers to upstream, Core routers host internal network



Equipment Requirements

Scenario Two:

Border routers to upstream, Firewalls host internal network



Equipment Requirements

- Note the redundancy built into the design
 - One border router fails traffic continues via the other
 - One core router/firewall fails traffic continues via the other
 - One upstream provider fails traffic continues via the other
- Border Router function:
 - EBGP with upstream
 - Originating IP address blocks
 - IBGP and OSPF/IS-IS with core devices
 - Traffic engineering via BGP
 - Initial protection of the core network with packet filters
 - Details later!

Selecting an Upstream

Must have different transit arrangements from each other

- Otherwise entity only gains localised redundancy
 - If the upstream providers' shared transit fails, the advantages of multihoming are lost
 - Still provides protection against local problems (link outages, upstream outages)
- Make sure that upstream providers specify who their transits are
 - And check via RouteViews or https://bgp.he.net
 - Being at the same Internet Exchange Point is fine!
 - Having private peering with each other is fine too!

Selecting an Upstream

- Make sure the upstream and its transit providers serve the region you are interested in
 - And have ready access to the major content providers and/or operate content caches
 - Makes no sense if transit provider of upstream is in a different continent as it will adversely affect your customer and end-user experience
 - When multihoming, goals are:
 - Redundancy
 - Minimum latency to critical content
 - Maximum bandwidth to critical content

Upstream Provider Requirements

- Be willing to support a BGP customer!
 - Many are not (usually citing complexity)
- Be able to support a BGP customer!
 - References for existing customers help
 - Check on RouteViews or https://bgp.he.net for existing BGP customers
 - BGP customer hotline (separate from standard access customer)
- Be able to update peering policies with their transit providers, peers, and any IXPs they are members of
 - Community policies (published or otherwise)
 - Direct NOC to NOC contact
- Implement the Mutually Agreed Norms for Routing Security principles (https://manrs.org) in their network

Upstream Provider Requirements

Other desirable features of an upstream:

- Support for Route Origin Validation
 They check and drop invalid prefixes
- Provision of DDoS mitigation tools
 - Support for Remotely Triggered BlackHole (RTBH) Filtering
 - Support for customer use of the RTBH BGP community
- Support for BGP communities for traffic engineering
 Saves phoning their NOC every time changes are needed
- Direct access to their NOC
 - **BGP** customer has more sophisticated needs than a fixed link customer

Agenda

- Background and Requirements
- The next steps
- □ 1st link primary, 2nd link backup
- Load share between both links
- End-site network configuration

What now?

Status:

- Have obtained IPv4 and IPv6 address space from the RIR
- Have obtained an AS number from the RIR
- Have procured two suitable border routers
- Have selected two upstream providers

RPKI: Signing ROAs

- When IPv4 and IPv6 address blocks are delegated, and the AS Number assigned, sign the ROA
 - ROA is Route Origin Authorisation
 - A digital signature stating that this AS is authorised to originate this address block
 - Document this in your standard operational procedures
 - Don't forget to update the ROA if there are changes in address block size or origin AS
- How to sign ROAs?
 - Available via the web interface of your RIR portal
 - Usually need to set up two factor authentication first

RPKI: Signing ROAs

■ A typical ROA would look like this:

Prefix	10.10.0/16
Max-Length	/18
Origin-AS	AS65534

There can be more than one ROA per address block

- Allows the operator to originate prefixes from more than one AS
- Caters for changes in routing policy or prefix origin
- (Allows your upstream to originate your address block from their AS until you are ready with your BGP)

Creating ROAs – Important Notes

Always create ROAs for the aggregate and the individual subnets being routed in BGP

• Examples:

- If creating a ROA for 10.10.0.0/16 and "max prefix" length is set to /16
 There will only be a valid ROA for 10.10.0.0/16
 - If a subnet of 10.10.0/16 is originated, it will be state Invalid
- If creating a ROA for 10.1.32.0/23 and "max prefix" length is set to /23
 There will only be a valid ROA for 10.1.32.0/23
 - □ If 10.1.32.0/24 or 10.1.33.0/24 is originated, these will be state Invalid

Internet Routing Registry: Route Object

- A route object documents which AS number is originating the listed route
 - Superseded by a ROA
 - In fact, most RIRs now automatically create a route object in their IRR for each ROA that is signed
- Required by many major transit providers
 - They build their customer and peer filter based on the route-objects listed in the IRR
 - Referring to at least the 5 RIR routing registries and the RADB
 - Some operators run their own instance of the IRR as well
 - May require their customers to place a Route Object there (if not using the 5 RIR or RADB versions of the IRR)

Route Object: Examples

route:	100.64.0.0/24			
descr:	ENTERPRISE-BLOCK			
country:	ZZ			
notify:	noc@yy.zz			
mnt-by:	MAINT-ZZ-ENTERPRISE			
origin:	AS64500			
last-modified:	2018-09-18T09:37:40Z			
source:	IRR			

This declares that AS64500 is the origin of 100.64.0.0/24

route6:	2001:DB8:F:/48			
descr:	ENTERPRISE-V6BLOCK			
origin:	AS64500			
notify:	noc@yy.zz			
mnt-by:	MAINT-ZZ-ENTERPRISE			
last-modified:	2010-07-21T03:46:02Z			
source:	IRR			

This declares that AS64500 is the origin of 2001:DB8:F::/48

AS Object: Purpose

- Documents peering policy with other Autonomous Systems
 - Lists network information
 - Lists contact information
 - Lists routes announced to neighbouring autonomous systems
 - Lists routes accepted from neighbouring autonomous systems
- Some operators pay close attention to what is contained in the AS Object
 - Some configure their border router BGP policy based on what is listed in the AS Object

AS Object: Example

aut-num: as-name:	AS64500 ENTERPRISE-AS			
descr:	Enterprise Netw	work		
country:	ZZ			
<pre>import: export:</pre>		action pref=100; announce AS64500	accept ANY	
import:	from AS64510 a	action pref=100;	accept ANY	
export:	to AS64510 a	announce AS64500	-	
<snip></snip>				▼
admin-c:	ENO1-ZZ			
tech-c:	ENO1-ZZ			
notify:	noc@yy.zz			
mnt-by:	RIR-HM		_	
mnt-lower:	MAINT-ZZ-ENTERI	PRISE	Ex	amples of inbound and
mnt-routes:	MAINT-ZZ-ENTERE	PRISE		
last-modified:	2019-06-09т22:4	40:10Z	OU	tbound policies – RPSL
source:	IRR			

Internet Routing Registry: Summary

- Route Object
 - Essential to have one
 - These days created when a ROA is signed
- AS Object
 - Not essential, but useful and informative
 - Shows operator's peering policy
 - And the ASNs connected to it

Multihoming decisions

One upstream primary, the other upstream backup?

- Leaves one link mostly unused
- (not really recommended)

Load balance between two upstreams

More common, to take advantage of all available capacity

Agenda

- Background and Requirements
- □ The next steps
- □ 1st link primary, 2nd link backup
- Load share between both links
- End-site network configuration

- Entity has IPv4 /24 and IPv6 /48
- Basic principle:
 - Outbound announcements:
 - Make standard announcement of /24 and /48 on the link to the primary provider
 - Prepend the announcement of /24 and /48 on the link to the backup provider
 - Two or three prepends is enough!
 - Inbound:
 - Only need default route from both upstreams
 - Mark default route from backup provider with low local-preference





Router A Configuration

```
router bgp 64500
address-family ipv4
network 100.64.0.0 mask 255.255.255.0
neighbor 100.66.10.1 remote-as 64505
neighbor 100.66.10.1 prefix-list AGGREGATE out
neighbor 100.66.10.1 prefix-list DEFAULT in
neighbor 100.66.10.1 activate
!
ip prefix-list AGGREGATE permit 100.64.0.0/24
ip prefix-list DEFAULT permit 0.0.0.0/0
!
ip route 100.64.0.0 255.255.255.0 null0
```

Router B Configuration

```
router bgp 64500
address-family ipv4
network 100.64.0.0 mask 255.255.255.0
neighbor 100.67.5.1 remote-as 64510
neighbor 100.67.5.1 prefix-list AGGREGATE out
neighbor 100.67.5.1 route-map AS64510-PREPEND out
neighbor 100.67.5.1 prefix-list DEFAULT in
neighbor 100.67.5.1 route-map LP-LOW in
neighbor 100.67.5.1 activate
!
...next slide...
```

32

```
1<sup>st</sup> link primary, 2<sup>nd</sup> link backup
```

```
ip route 100.64.0.0 255.255.255.0 null0
!
ip prefix-list AGGREGATE permit 100.64.0.0/24
ip prefix-list DEFAULT permit 0.0.0.0/0
!
route-map AS64510-PREPEND permit 10
description Three prepends to AS64510
set as-path prepend 64500 64500 64500
!
route-map LP-LOW permit 10
description All routes local pref 80
set local-preference 80
```

- Not a common situation as most sites tend to prefer using whatever capacity they have
 - (Useful when two competing ISPs agree to provide mutual backup to each other)
- But it shows the basic concepts of using local-prefs and AS-path prepends for engineering traffic in the chosen direction

Agenda

- Background and Requirements
- □ The next steps
- □ 1st link primary, 2nd link backup
- Load share between both links
 - Option 1
 - Option 2
 - Option 3
 - Option 4

End-site network configuration

Loadsharing between two upstreams: Option 1

Entity has IPv4 /24 and IPv6 /48

- Challenging to load balance
- Basic principle:
 - Outbound announcements:
 - Make standard announcement of /24 and /48 on the link to the first provider
 - Make standard announcement of /24 and /48 on the link to the second provider
 - Inbound:
 - Accept default route from upstreams




Router A Configuration

```
router bgp 64500
address-family ipv4
network 100.64.0.0 mask 255.255.255.0
neighbor 100.66.10.1 remote-as 64505
neighbor 100.66.10.1 prefix-list AGGREGATE out
neighbor 100.66.10.1 prefix-list DEFAULT in
neighbor 100.66.10.1 activate
!
ip route 100.64.0.0 255.255.255.0 null0
!
ip prefix-list DEFAULT permit 0.0.0.0/0
ip prefix-list AGGREGATE permit 100.64.0.0/24
```

Router B Configuration

```
router bgp 64500
address-family ipv4
network 100.64.0.0 mask 255.255.255.0
neighbor 100.67.5.1 remote-as 64510
neighbor 100.67.5.1 prefix-list AGGREGATE out
neighbor 100.67.5.1 prefix-list DEFAULT in
neighbor 100.67.5.1 activate
!
ip route 100.64.0.0 255.255.255.0 null0
!
ip prefix-list DEFAULT permit 0.0.0.0/0
ip prefix-list AGGREGATE permit 100.64.0.0/24
```

Problems:

- No load balancing of outbound traffic
 - BGP has only one best path
- Which upstream used for outbound traffic?
 - Depends on router implementation
 - Might be the first to bring up BGP session
 - Might be the lowest neighbour IP address
- Load balancing of inbound traffic is non-deterministic
 - Relies on the AS-PATH length from "the Internet", i.e. content that the entity's users want to access
 - Shortest AS-PATH wins, meaning one upstream may carry all incoming traffic

Option 1 is no good at all:

- Cannot subdivide the IPv4 /24 or the IPv6 /48 to help with inbound load balancing
- Cannot load balance outbound traffic between two default routes

Fixes:

- Obtain two IPv4 /24s and two IPv6 /48s
 This is examined in Option 2 following
- Request upstream providers to send "some routes"
 This is examined in Option 3 following

Configuration handles this situation:

- Equal path lengths from various content to the multihoming entity
- Content A should traverse Upstream A to get to AS64500
- Content B should traverse Upstream B to get to AS64500



Configuration handles this situation:

- Equal path lengths from various content to the multihoming entity
- Content A should traverse Upstream A to get to AS64500
- Content B should traverse Upstream B to get to AS64500



What about unequal path lengths from content to multihoming entity?



- What about unequal path lengths from content to multihoming entity?
 - Need to prepend announcement from AS64500 to make effective path length the same



Router A Configuration

```
router bgp 64500
address-family ipv4
network 100.64.0.0 mask 255.255.255.0
neighbor 100.66.10.1 remote-as 64505
neighbor 100.66.10.1 prefix-list AGGREGATE out
neighbor 100.66.10.1 route-map AS64505-prepend out
neighbor 100.66.10.1 prefix-list DEFAULT in
neighbor 100.66.10.1 activate
!
ip route 100.64.0.0 255.255.255.0 null0
!
ip prefix-list DEFAULT permit 0.0.0.0/0
ip prefix-list AGGREGATE permit 100.64.0.0/24
!
route-map AS64505-prepend permit 10
set as-path prepend 64500
```

47

Result:

- The path length from Content B to AS64500 is now the same as the path length from Content A to AS64500
- So we should be back to some sort of load balancing for incoming traffic
 Should be okay given most Internet end-sites are downloaders
- (Outgoing is still following default route not optimised at all)

Comments:

Traffic engineering with a single IPv4 /24 and a single IPv6 /48 is hard

Prepending announcement from AS64500 to make effective path length from perspective of Content A and Content B the same



Agenda

- Background and Requirements
- □ The next steps
- □ 1st link primary, 2nd link backup
- Load share between both links
 - Option 1
 - Option 2
 - Option 3
 - Option 4

End-site network configuration

Entity has two IPv4 /24s and two IPv6 /48s

- Easier to load balance
- One /24 and /48 is used on the first link
- The other /24 and /48 is used on the second link

Basic principle:

- Outbound announcements:
 - Make standard announcement of first /24 and /48 and prepend the second /24 and /48 on the link to the first provider
 - Make standard announcement of second /24 and /48 and prepend the first /24 and /48 on the link to the second provider
- Inbound:
 - Accept default route from both upstreams





Router A Configuration

```
router bgp 64500
address-family ipv4
network 100.64.0.0 mask 255.255.255.0
network 100.64.15.0 mask 255.255.255.0
neighbor 100.66.10.1 remote-as 64505
neighbor 100.66.10.1 route-map AS64505-OUT out
neighbor 100.66.10.1 prefix-list DEFAULT in
neighbor 100.66.10.1 activate
!
ip route 100.64.0.0 255.255.255.0 null0
ip route 100.64.15.0 255.255.255.0 null0
!
...continued...
```

```
ip prefix-list DEFAULT permit 0.0.0.0/0
ip prefix-list FIRST24 permit 100.64.0.0/24
ip prefix-list SECOND24 permit 100.64.15.0/24
!
route-map AS64505-OUT permit 10
description 1st /24 untouched
match ip address prefix-list FIRST24
!
route-map AS64505-OUT permit 20
description 2nd /24 three prepends to AS64505
match ip address prefix-list SECOND24
set as-path prepend 64500 64500 64500
!
route-map AS64505-OUT deny 30
description Drop everything else
```

Router B Configuration

```
router bgp 64500
address-family ipv4
network 100.64.0.0 mask 255.255.255.0
network 100.64.15.0 mask 255.255.255.0
neighbor 100.67.5.1 remote-as 64510
neighbor 100.67.5.1 route-map AS64510-OUT out
neighbor 100.67.5.1 prefix-list DEFAULT in
neighbor 100.67.5.1 activate
!
ip route 100.64.0.0 255.255.255.0 null0
ip route 100.64.15.0 255.255.255.0 null0
!
...continued...
```

```
ip prefix-list DEFAULT permit 0.0.0.0/0
ip prefix-list FIRST24 permit 100.64.0.0/24
ip prefix-list SECOND24 permit 100.64.15.0/24
!
route-map AS64510-OUT permit 10
  description 1st /24 three prepends to AS64510
  match ip address prefix-list FIRST24
  set as-path prepend 64500 64500 64500
!
route-map AS64510-OUT permit 20
  description 2nd /24 untouched
  match ip address prefix-list SECOND24
!
route-map AS64510-OUT deny 30
  description Drop everything else
```

Inbound traffic flow:

- All traffic for first /24 and first /48 comes in through AS64505 upstream
- All traffic for second /24 and second /48 comes in through AS64510 upstream
- Loadbalancing? Not really:
 - Entity needs to implement addressing plan to equally use IP address space across both blocks, keeping in mind the traffic levels
 - But this is all that can be done with small address space
 - Only extra tuning available is to adjust AS-Path prepend on primary and backup paths

Outbound traffic flow:

No change from Option 1

Agenda

- Background and Requirements
- □ The next steps
- □ 1st link primary, 2nd link backup
- Load share between both links
 - Option 1
 - Option 2
 - Option 3
 - Option 4

End-site network configuration

- Entity has two IPv4 /24s and two IPv6 /48s
 - Easier to load balance 😄
- Basic principle:
 - Outbound announcements unchanged from Option 2
 - Inbound:
 - Ask upstreams for the default route, their aggregates, and all customer originated provider independent address space (Option 3a)

OR

Ask upstreams for the default and the global BGP table (Option 3b)



■ First the theory:

AS64500 announces address space to AS64505
 Best path from AS64505 to AS64500 is over the direct link
 Therefore the return path for traffic needs to be over the direct link too
 And so AS64505 needs to send their originated aggregates to AS64500
 This includes any customers using AS64505's address space
 Default route also sent – gets low local-preference
 Result:

- Traffic from AS64505 and its customers goes directly to AS64500
- Traffic from AS64500 goes directly to AS64505 and its customers
- The path to AS64510 is used for everything else
- Adjust to suit (see Option 3b)



Router A Configuration

```
router bgp 64500
address-family ipv4
network 100.64.0.0 mask 255.255.255.0
network 100.64.15.0 mask 255.255.255.0
neighbor 100.66.10.1 remote-as 64505
neighbor 100.66.10.1 route-map AS64505-OUT out
neighbor 100.66.10.1 route-map AS64505-IN in
neighbor 100.66.10.1 activate
!
ip route 100.64.0.0 255.255.255.0 null0
ip route 100.64.15.0 255.255.255.0 null0
!
...continued...
```

```
ip prefix-list DEFAULT permit 0.0.0.0/0
!
ip as-path access-list 1 permit ^64505$
!
route-map AS64505-IN permit 10
  description Accept default
  match ip address prefix-list DEFAULT
  set local-preference 80
!
route-map AS64505-IN permit 20
  description Accept AS64505 originated routes
  match as-path access-list 1
!
route-map AS64505-IN deny 30
  description Drop everything else
!
```

65

Router B Configuration

Same as

earlier

router bgp 64500
address-family ipv4
network 100.64.0.0 mask 255.255.255.0
network 100.64.15.0 mask 255.255.255.0
neighbor 100.67.5.1 remote-as 64510
neighbor 100.67.5.1 route-map AS64510-OUT out
neighbor 100.67.5.1 prefix-list DEFAULT in
neighbor 100.67.5.1 activate
! !
ip route 100.64.0.0 255.255.255.0 null0
ip route 100.64.15.0 255.255.255.0 null0
· !
ip prefix-list DEFAULT permit 0.0.0.0/0
•••

66

■ AS64500 sees the following routing table entries:

- Prefixes originated by AS64505 upstream
- Default route from AS64505 upstream
 Local preference set to 80 (less than default 100)
- Default route from AS64510 upstream
- Result:
 - All traffic to AS64505 goes via direct link
 - All traffic to rest of Internet goes via AS64510
- Is this ideal?
 - No, but it's a start!

□ First the theory:

- In addition to Option 3a...
- AS64505 announces address space learned from AS64500 to its customers, peers, and transits
 - Best path from customers, peers (and possibly transits) will be via AS64505 to AS64500
 - **D** Therefore the return path for traffic needs to be over the direct link too
 - And so AS64505 needs to send their customers, peers, and transit originated aggregates to AS64500 too
- Unlikely for any upstream provider to give this degree of granularity
 - Solution: ask them for the global BGP table
 - But don't panic: we'll throw most of it away!



Router A Configuration

```
router bgp 64500
address-family ipv4
network 100.64.0.0 mask 255.255.255.0
network 100.64.15.0 mask 255.255.255.0
neighbor 100.66.10.1 remote-as 64505
neighbor 100.66.10.1 route-map AS64505-OUT out
neighbor 100.66.10.1 route-map AS64505-IN in
neighbor 100.66.10.1 activate
!
ip route 100.64.0.0 255.255.255.0 null0
ip route 100.64.15.0 255.255.255.0 null0
!
...continued...
```

```
ip prefix-list DEFAULT permit 0.0.0.0/0
1
ip as-path access-list 1 permit ^64505$
ip as-path access-list 1 permit ^64505 [0-9]+$
route-map AS64505-IN permit 10
description Accept default
match ip address prefix-list DEFAULT
set local-preference 80
route-map AS64505-IN permit 20
description Accept AS64505 originated routes
match as-path access-list 1
1
route-map AS64505-IN deny 30
description Drop everything else
!
```

■ AS64500 sees the following routing table entries:

- Prefixes originated by AS64505 upstream
- Prefixes originated by the immediate AS neighbours of AS64505
- Default route from AS64505 upstream
 Local preference set to 80 (less than default 100)
- Default route from AS64510 upstream
- Result:
 - All traffic to AS64505 and its AS neighbours goes via direct link
 - All traffic to rest of Internet goes via AS64510
- Is this ideal?
 - It's a lot better than Option 3a!
Loadsharing between two upstreams

How to progress this further?

For more outbound traffic on the link to AS64505, or to have more symmetric traffic flows, allow another AS in the permitted path:

```
ip as-path access-list 1 permit ^64505$
ip as-path access-list 1 permit ^64505_[0-9]+$
ip as-path access-list 1 permit ^64505 [0-9]+ [0-9]+$
```

And if that is too much, start excluding ASNs seen in the received paths
 There are a large number of possible variations here
 Adjust to suit local needs and local conditions

Agenda

- Background and Requirements
- □ The next steps
- □ 1st link primary, 2nd link backup
- Load share between both links
 - Option 1
 - Option 2
 - Option 3
 - Option 4

End-site network configuration

What about the link to the other upstream

- That can remain as just a default route
- Because traffic to them and their adjacent ASNs will simply follow defaults
- However, if the two upstreams have customers multihoming between them, then:
 - Get the full BGP table from AS64510 as well
 - Filter based on AS path, as was done for AS64505
- No right or wrong solution
 - Having confidence to filter based on AS path is the key to making this work
 - And try and keep traffic flows symmetric wherever possible



- Similar configuration for both upstreams:
 - Request full BGP table from both
 And the default route
 - Don't panic! We are throwing most of it away
 - AS-PATH filter keeps adjacent ASNs only
 Adjust the filter to suit your local conditions
 - As for default route:
 - No need to local preference it now leave default 100
 - Best path will be by lowest router ID (not ideal either)

Router A Configuration

```
router bgp 64500
address-family ipv4
network 100.64.0.0 mask 255.255.255.0
network 100.64.15.0 mask 255.255.255.0
neighbor 100.66.10.1 remote-as 64505
neighbor 100.66.10.1 route-map AS64505-OUT out
neighbor 100.66.10.1 route-map AS64505-IN in
neighbor 100.66.10.1 activate
!
ip route 100.64.0.0 255.255.255.0 null0
ip route 100.64.15.0 255.255.255.0 null0
!
...continued...
```

```
ip prefix-list DEFAULT permit 0.0.0.0/0
ip as-path access-list 1 permit ^64505$
ip as-path access-list 1 permit ^64505 [0-9]+$
ip as-path access-list 1 permit ^64505 [0-9]+ [0-9]+$
route-map AS64505-IN permit 10
description Accept default
match ip address prefix-list DEFAULT
route-map AS64505-IN permit 20
description Accept AS64505 originated routes
match as-path access-list 1
route-map AS64505-IN deny 30
description Drop everything else
```

Router B Configuration

```
router bgp 64500
address-family ipv4
network 100.64.0.0 mask 255.255.255.0
network 100.64.15.0 mask 255.255.255.0
neighbor 100.67.5.1 remote-as 64510
neighbor 100.67.5.1 route-map AS64510-OUT out
neighbor 100.67.5.1 route-map AS64510-IN in
neighbor 100.67.5.1 activate
!
ip route 100.64.0.0 255.255.255.0 null0
ip route 100.64.15.0 255.255.255.0 null0
!
...continued...
```

```
ip prefix-list DEFAULT permit 0.0.0.0/0
ip as-path access-list 2 permit ^64510$
ip as-path access-list 2 permit ^64510 [0-9]+$
ip as-path access-list 2 permit ^64510 [0-9]+ [0-9]+$
route-map AS64510-IN permit 10
description Accept default
match ip address prefix-list DEFAULT
route-map AS64510-IN permit 20
description Accept AS64510 originated routes
match as-path access-list 2
route-map AS64510-IN deny 30
description Drop everything else
```

AS64500 sees the following routing table entries:

- Prefixes originated by AS64505 and AS64510 upstreams
- Prefixes originated by the immediate AS neighbours of AS64505 and AS64510
- Prefixes originated by the AS neighbours of immediate AS neighbours of AS64505 and AS64510
- Default route from AS64505 and AS64510 upstreams
- Default route from AS64510 upstream

Result:

- All traffic to AS64505, AS64510, their immediate AS neighbours, and the immediate AS neighbours of those neighbours, follows the direct specific path
- All traffic to rest of Internet follows the default route
- Is this ideal?
 - It can be better than Option 3b in the case where AS64505 and AS64510 have a direct connection with each other (private or bi-lateral/IXP)

Further improvements:

- Selecting one upstream as default, and the other as backup
 Right now, best path is by lowest neighbour IP address not ideal
- Accept even more routes from each upstream
 Become less reliant on the default (and lowest neighbour IP address)
- Etc

Agenda

- Background and Requirements
- □ The next steps
- □ 1st link primary, 2nd link backup
- Load share between both links
 - Option 1
 - Option 2
 - Option 3
 - Option 4

End-site network configuration

- Up to now, left end-site network away from the border routers like this:
 - Border routers announce default into core
 - Best path from core to border follows defaults
 - Border routers choose best path if more specific information is available
- Is it possible to improve on this?

How do the defaults work?

• If BR1 default better than BR2 default (according to BGP):



■ How do the defaults work?

 If BR1 default better than BR2 default (according to BGP) – most outbound traffic via BR1, unless more specific path learned from BR2



- \square Notice the CR2 \rightarrow BR1 \rightarrow BR2 \rightarrow ISP-B path for traffic going to specific routes learned from ISP-B
 - We can improve on this
- Would be better to have CR1 and CR2 traffic going directly to BR2 for routes learned from ISP-B
 - To do this:
 - Announce routes by IBGP from border routers to the core routers
 - Be Careful: in many enterprises, the core routers are often L3 switches with limited FIB sizes
 - Don't allow the FIB to overflow otherwise the core devices will behave in very unpredictable ways (and end users will experience random connectivity problems)

Announcing routes to the core:

- Tag routes learned from upstreams
 - Default gets no-advertise community
 - Specific accepted routes get an internal community
 - Configure maximum-prefix on EBGP sessions so that errors don't break core router FIB
- Set up IBGP peer-group to allow partial routes from Border to Core
 - Border router as route-reflector, core router as client
- Originate default route in OSPF/IS-IS
 - No need to carry in IBGP
 - Caters for EBGP session going down and BGP timeout delays

BR1 BGP Configuration (enhanced from Option 4 earlier)

router bgp 64500 address-family ipv4 network 100.64.0.0 mask 255.255.255.0 network 100.64.15.0 mask 255.255.255.0 neighbor CORE peer-group neighbor CORE remote-as 64500 neighbor CORE route-reflector-client neighbor CORE send-community neighbor CORE update-source Loopback0 neighbor 100.64.0.2 remote-as 64500 neighbor 100.64.0.2 send-community neighbor 100.64.0.2 update-source Loopback0 neighbor 100.64.0.2 update-source Loopback0 neighbor 100.64.0.2 update-source Loopback0 neighbor 100.64.0.2 description IBGP with BR2 neighbor 100.64.0.2 activate

...continued...

```
neighbor 100.64.0.3 peer-group IBGP
 neighbor 100.64.0.3 description IBGP with CR1
 neighbor 100.64.0.3 activate
 neighbor 100.64.0.4 peer-group IBGP
 neighbor 100.64.0.4 description IBGP with CR2
 neighbor 100.64.0.4 activate
 neighbor 100.66.10.1 remote-as 64505
 neighbor 100.66.10.1 description EBGP with ISP-A
 neighbor 100.66.10.1 route-map AS64505-OUT out
 neighbor 100.66.10.1 route-map AS64505-IN in
 neighbor 100.66.10.1 maximum-prefix 3000
 neighbor 100.66.10.1 activate
ip route 100.64.0.0 255.255.255.0 null0
ip route 100.64.15.0 255.255.255.0 null0
1
...continued...
```

91

```
ip prefix-list DEFAULT permit 0.0.0/0
1
ip as-path access-list 1 permit ^64505$
ip as-path access-list 1 permit ^64505 [0-9]+$
ip as-path access-list 1 permit ^64505 [0-9]+ [0-9]+$
route-map AS64505-IN permit 10
description Accept default
match ip address prefix-list DEFAULT
set community no-advertise
I
route-map AS64505-IN permit 20
description Accept AS64505 originated routes
match as-path access-list 1
route-map AS64505-IN deny 30
description Drop everything else
1
```

BR1 OSPF Configuration

- default-originate originates a default within OSPF if it exists in the Global RIB (i.e. from BGP)
- The metric 10 sets the metric to be 10 on the default route
- Similar concept exists for IS-IS if that is the IGP of choice

```
interface Gigabit 0/0
 description Link to ISP-A
 ip address 100.66.10.2 255.255.255.252
1
interface Gigabit 1/0
description Link to CR1
ip address 100.64.0.129 255.255.255.252
ip ospf network point-to-point
 ip ospf 64500 area 0
<similar for Gigabit 2/0 & 3/0 for CR2 and BR2>
!
router ospf 64500
passive-interface default
no passive-interface Gigabit 1/0
no passive-interface Gigabit 2/0
no passive-interface Gigabit 3/0
default-information originate metric 10
```

Similar configuration applies on BR2

Configuration Notes:

- OSPF
 - Can set metric for the default route announced from BR2 to be the same as for BR1, but better to make it a higher value so that it is a backup
 - Can set cost on the BR2-CR2 and BR2-CR1 links to be higher than the BR1-CR1 and BR1-CR2 links so that default route followed is always to BR1 first

IBGP

- As infrastructure scales, putting route filters on the IBGP session to protect core routers with limited FIB sizes is also an option
- If full BGP table taken from both upstreams, then IBGP filters strongly recommended

Summary

Presentation has examined:

- Minimum requirements for multihoming
- The preparations needed
- Options available for small end-sites
- End-site core network configuration suggestions

Multihoming: Practical Deployment

ISP Workshops